



DIFFERENTIATED OVERSIGHT: WHAT IS IT AND HOW CAN IT BE USEFUL?





Mission

- The New Jersey Department of Education (NJDOE), Office of Charter Schools (OCS) provides **New Jersey families** with **quality schools**. OCS authorizes charter schools consistent with **national best practice** in charter school authorizing, offering school operators **autonomy** and opportunities for **innovation** in exchange for **accountability** for **student outcomes**.

Authorizer Overview

- NJDOE is the **state education agency** and **sole authorizer** of charter schools in New Jersey.
- **Commissioner of Education**, appointed by the **Governor**, has **final authority** in the approval, opening, monitoring and renewal of charters in New Jersey.
- Currently, **9 employees** solely dedicated to **authorizing**.

Charter School Data

- **89** charter schools serving **over 40,000** students, approximately **3%** of the public school students in the state.
- Most of our NJ's charter schools are in our **urban centers** such as Newark, Jersey City and Camden.
- **54%** of charter seats are **high-quality** based on the standards within the Performance Framework (2013-14 Data)



Core Value #1

Focus on student outcomes: We use the Performance Framework to focus everyone's attention on student achievement; all other considerations are secondary.



Differentiated Oversight

- A school's academic performance and number of years of operation drives oversight.
- Each member of the authorizing team is a liaison to a set number of schools.
- Monitoring is aligned to the revamped Organizational Performance Framework.
- Depending on the level of monitoring a school receives, organizational framework reports will be limited to certain areas of performance.



Charter School Academic Tiers

Tier 1

- Replication or Expansion
(Schools must demonstrate consistent academic success and capacity to grow)

Tier 2

- Intervention Considered

Tier 3

- Site Visits
- Board Meetings
- Probation / Remedial Plan
- Non-Renewal or Revocation



Performance Area 1: Education Program & Capacity

- Mission / Key Design Elements
- Curriculum
- Instruction
- Assessment
- Organizational Capacity

Performance Area 2: School Culture & Climate

- School Environment
- Family & Community Engagement

Performance Area 3: Board Governance

- Capacity
- Compliance

Performance Area 4: Access & Equity

- Recruitment, Admissions, Attrition, Suspension / Expulsion
- Special Education
- English Language Learners

Performance Area 5: Compliance

- NJSMART
- EpiCenter
- Financial Management
- Other



Renewal Process 2015-16

School Status	Approximate Length	Site Visit Components
Tier 1 Schools	2-3 Hours	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 2 Reviewers• Interviews with board president, school leader, special education staff and ESL/bilingual staff• Classroom walk-throughs• Collection of Best Practices• Document Review• Abbreviated Site Visit Summary• End of Day Debrief (Optional)
No Tier, Tier 2, Tier 3 or Probation Schools	Full Day	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 4-8 Reviewers• Interviews with board of trustees, school leader, teachers, data administrator, special education staff, ESL/bilingual staff, parents and students• Classroom Observations• Document Review• Comprehensive Site Visit Summary• End of Day Debrief



Key Considerations for New Jersey

- How do we prioritize our limited resources to more effectively oversee schools?
- What information do you actually use to make a high-stakes authorizing decision?
- How much will Year 1 of PARCC data inform decision making?



Resources

Contact Name	Email
Harry Lee, Director	harold.lee@doe.state.nj.us

Resources:

☐ Organizational Performance Framework

http://www.nj.gov/education/chartsch/accountability/OrganizationalPerformanceFramework_NJDOE_08132015.pdf

☐ Renewal Site Visit Protocol

<http://www.nj.gov/education/chartsch/accountability/RenewalSiteVisitProtocolpdf.pdf>

☐ Access and Equity Guidance

<http://www.nj.gov/education/chartsch/equity/guidelines.pdf>

ASD At A Glance

ASD is a statewide school district created to dramatically improve the bottom 5% of TN Schools



Overall District:

- Mission: Bottom 5% to Top 25%
- Public neighborhood turnaround schools
- 4th year as a district
- Expanded from 6 → 16 → 23 → 29 schools (Currently 2 in Nashville, 27 in Memphis)
- Serving 10,000 students

School Portfolio:

- Operator types: Experienced, new and district-run
- School Models: Traditional, Project Based Learning, Montessori, Independent Learning
- Turnaround types: Full transformation, phase-in or new start
- Accountability Models: Non-Tested Grades (K2), Alternative Education, Elementary and Middle Grades (K8), High School Grades (K12)



Our Approach:

Autonomy balanced with Accountability

- Set mission-aligned, feasible and transparent goals around outcomes that drive the district's replication and revocation decisions
- Allow decision-making about inputs to happen at the operator level; create opt-in, not opt-out choices for operators
- Monitor with minimal intrusion

ASD School Performance Framework (K2, K8, K12, Alt Ed)

FINANCE

These metrics **ensure operators are financially responsible and viable**. An operator must meet 100% of financial metrics. Failure to do so would result in an automatic “Does Not Meet” for all schools.



STUDENT AND FAMILY RIGHTS

These accountability items **ensure school compliance with significant legal obligations**. Egregious noncompliance will result in a “Does Not Meet” for all schools.



ACADEMIC COMPOSITE SCORE

These metrics **ensure operators are on track to the top 25% in the state and are serving students well**. An operator must earn 50 points to approach, 70 points to meet, and 90 points to exceed expectations.



SCHOOL ACTIONS

EXPANSION

REPLICATION

REPLACEMENT

The Old Process: Monitoring

- What we used to do:
 - Beginning of year, mid-year and end of year accountability meetings led by the Accountability team at the ASD were held for each school individually
 - Schools were required to submit progress to goal data and reflections prior to mid-year meetings
 - School Quality Reviews led by the ASD occurred once each year for all schools to provide an outside perspective on qualitative factors (no accountability attached)
 - Special Education Programmatic Reviews occurred annually, with recommendations provided

The New Process: Ensuring Transparent Goals

Optional	Required
<p>Group training on the School Performance Framework (SPF) is provided for all schools and operators in the summer</p> <p>Office hours with individual operators and the accountability team to dig into performance and target data occur in the fall</p>	<p>Operators receive an end of year performance report (for prior year) and Baseline targets report (for current year) is provided for each school in the fall</p> <p>Operators attend one Fall Accountability Meeting in which we discuss the past year’s SPF performance and targets for the current year. Operators have the option of an ASD-led, Operator-led or joint working session meeting style.</p>

The New Process: Monitoring with Minimal Intrusion

- What we do now:
 - The Finance, Student and Family Rights and Portfolio Analysis team each independently monitor one section of the performance framework and provide progress-to-goal reports to operators. They jointly facilitate performance meetings
 - Mid-year performance meetings to discuss improvement plans are required if:
 - An operator is in its 3rd year and has failed the prior year, or
 - An operator is failing the Finance or S&FR section in the Fall.
 - Operators can “opt in” to a mid-year meeting if they are not required

Oversight in Student and Family Rights

Document Collection

- Collected at BOY
- Performance Results shared in MY Meetings

Audit

- Conducted in November
- Performance Results shared in MY Meetings

Cumulative Data

- Collected throughout year
- Performance Results available at EOY

Oversight in Student and Family Rights

Occurs After...	ASD Action		Communication
Mid-Year Performance	Send Notice of Concern		Annually (Jan)
1 st year of Noncompliance of Individual Item(s)	Require Corrective Action Plan		Annually (July)
2 nd year of Noncompliance of Individual Item(s)	Probationary Period	Conduct Responsive Monitoring	EOY Year 2 (July)
		Decision to Pause Replication	Year 3 September
		Decision to Revoke Charter	Year 4 September
3 rd year of Noncompliance of Individual Item(s)			
Egregious Violations* (incl. 25% (6+) noncompliant items in one school year)		Decision to Pause Replication	Annually (Sept)

***Egregious Noncompliance** defined as “grossly negligent act(s) that deny access to education, lead to significant student harm, and/or invalidate accountability results.”

Next Considerations for the ASD

- How will Year 1 of new Common Core-aligned assessment data impact confidence in our current SPF goals, and therefore accountability and monitoring structure?
- In what way can we structure early grade accountability as an indicator of tested grade accountability (K2 v K8, Pre-K), either for our phase-in schools with non-tested grades or all schools serving early grades?



Resources

Contact Name	Email
Kristina Catani, ASD Director of Portfolio Analysis & Planning	Kristina.catani@tn-asd.org

Resources:

- ☐ [School Performance Framework Interactive Tool](#)
- ☐ [ASD New Schools Site](#)



ASD School Performance Framework

These metrics **ensure operators are financially responsible and viable**. An operator must meet 100% of financial metrics. Failure to do so would result in an automatic “Does Not Meet” for all schools.

FINANCE

Measured at the Operator Level

CURRENT RATIO

CASH ON HAND

AUDIT

STUDENT & FAMILY RIGHTS

Measured at the School Level

ACCESS

ENROLLMENT

LEADERSHIP

HEALTH/SAFETY

VOICE

ACADEMIC COMPOSITE SCORE

Measured at the School Level

MISSION

SCHOOL PROGRESS

STUDENT PROGRESS

EQUITY

GATEWAY

CULTURE

REMANDED STUDENTS